

ARMENIAN STUDIES ÉTUDES ARMÉNIENNES IN MEMORIAM HAÏG BERBÉRIAN

DICKRAN KOUYMJIAN

Editor



(Lisbon, 1986)

This material is presented solely for non-commercial educational/research purposes.

ARMENIAN YISUN

ERIC P. HAMP

The decades in Indo-European were formed up to '60' with a linking sound *H_e , i.e. the non-colouring laryngeal, joining the unit and the form for 'decade'. Thus Greek $\pi e r r \eta no r \tau a$ and Skt. $pa \bar{n} c \bar{a} s \dot{a} t$ -point to ${}^*-\bar{e}{}^-={}^*-eH_e{}^-$ as a linking syllable. This observation makes it clear that the normal reflex of IE ${}^*RH={}^*R$ in Armenian is aRa, and that *rH specifically gives $a\bar{r}a$. Thus Arm. ewt^c anasun must simply be ${}^*septn{}^-H_e{}^-\dot{k}oNtH_a$, reflecting however the change of final ${}^*-m$ to ${}^-n$. Likewise we have ${}^*k^wtwr{}^-H_e{}^-\dot{k}omtH_a$ [kwtwr ${}^+H_e{}^+\dot{k}omtH_a$] '40' > ${}^*twr\dot{k}ont\partial > k^carasun$.

It can further be assumed that IE *kw before i, regardless of the problem of its fate before other vowels (in particular, *e), gave h. This seems well supported by him 'why?', and would also be a natural stage in the development to zero observed in certain forms. Thus in the aorist middle hayesjir 'look!' we have *dhi (: Skt. -dhi, Greek $-\theta\iota$) + -Vr (1), beside hayec ay. Now when we consider hayim it would be natural and direct to compare Skt. iksate, which is * H_0i - H_0k^w st-e-t-o-i and therefore related to akn ac k. So, then, we see too that n-ayim (2) is the compound reflected in Old Irish enech face' (3). All these facts, plus the middle diathesis, confirm for us that the verb hayim does in fact correspond to Indic ikṣate, and that akn (i.e. Lat. oculus, Greek $\delta\sigma\sigma\varepsilon$) actually lies concealed in this verb. Now the IE root in question is * H_0ok^w -; the vocalism of akn ac k reflects

⁽¹⁾ A. Meillet, Esquisse d'une grammaire comparée de l'arménien classique, Vienna, 1936, pp. 120-1.

⁽²⁾ Ibid., p. 131.

⁽³⁾ See my analysis, BSL, 68 (1973), pp. 77-93.

zero-grade * H_0k^w -, with vocalized laryngeal (i.e. schwa), while the consonantism k (: \check{c}^c) reflects a re-assignment from positions of neutralization in the final of a root noun. On the other hand, from prevocalic * H_0 - we expect Arm. h-; cf. hoviw, hot. Thus at an early date * H_0V - and syllabic * H_0C - crossed to give *ha-. So, * H_0ok^w - and * H_0k^w - \bar{e} -m-o-i > * hak^w imoi. We may then assume * hak^w imoi > *hahimoi > *

Let us now turn to the much debated numeral '50'. With the above assumptions, only one abnormal change is required: a simple shift in nasal cluster in a word that already contains two nasal clusters. So we begin, as above, with *penk**e-H*_e-komtH*_a > *penk**ekont*. This yields by regular Armenian rules *pink**ikunta.

We now propose a metathesis of nasal cluster in this heavily laden word, which speakers might easily re-order. Thus *pinkwikunta> *pikwinkunta, which accumulates all nasals together; perhaps this may be regarded as a complex (or long-component) phonetic assimilation, with a single prolonged nasalization.

I now assume that both *p and $*k^w$ before i lose their occlusion; i.e. they become spirants, finally yielding h. This development may be assigned simply to VOICELESS ROUNDED (or LABIALIZED) STOP. Thus $*pik^winkunta > *hihinsunda$. Then nasals before sibilant spirants are lost (us 'shoulder', mis 'meat'), yielding *hihisunda.

The normal loss of medial *h then brings us to *hiisund, and this apparently developed a glide (as in hayim above) to produce *hiisun, or *hiyisun. The late prehistoric Armenian syncope of high vowels then gave *hyisun; and this plausibly yielded yisun, regardless of the phonetics which we assign to Classical Armenian y.

A possible final phonetic development was: *hiyisun > *hysun > [hysun] or [hisun] = yisun.